arXiv:0802.3430v1 [cs.IT] 23 Feb 2008

A CLASS OF NONBINARY CODES AND THEIR WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION

XIANGYONG ZENG, NIAN LI, AND LEI HU

Abstract. In this paper, for an even integer $n \geq 4$ and any positive integer k with $\gcd(n/2, k) = \gcd(n/2 - k, 2k) = d$ being odd, a class of p-ary codes $\mathcal{C}^k$ is defined and their weight distribution is completely determined, where p is an odd prime. As an application, a class of nonbinary sequence families is constructed from these codes, and the correlation distribution is also determined.

1. Introduction

Nonlinear functions have important applications in coding theory and cryptography [16, 7]. Linear codes constructed from functions with high nonlinearity [17, 11, 2, 6] can be good and have useful applications in communications [9, 19, 10, 18] or cryptography [5, 4, 3, 21]. For a code, its weight distribution is important to study its structure and to provide information on the probability of undetected error when the code is used for error detection.

Throughout this paper, let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field with $q = p^n$ elements for a prime p and a positive integer n, and let $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ be the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}_q$. For an even integer $n \geq 4$, let $\mathcal{C}^k$ denote the $[p^n - 1, 5n/2]$ cyclic code given by

$$\mathcal{C}^k = \left\{ c(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) = \left(\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x) + Tr_1^n(\epsilon x) \right)_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} \mid \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n/2}},\ \delta,\ \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \right\}$$

constructed from the function

$$\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x) = Tr_1^{n/2}(\gamma x^{p^{n/2}+1}) + Tr_1^n(\delta x^{p^k+1}), \tag{1.1}$$

where $1 \leq k < n$ with $k \neq n/2$, and for a positive integer l, $Tr_1^l(\cdot)$ is the trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{p^l}$ to $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Several classes of binary codes $\mathcal{C}^k$ have been extensively studied for some values of the parameter k. The binary code $\mathcal{C}^{n/2\pm1}$ is exactly the Kasami code in Theorem 14 of [9]. By choosing cyclicly inequivalent codewords from the Kasami code, the large set of binary Kasami sequences was obtained [19]. The minimum distance bound of $\mathcal{C}^1$ was established by evaluating the exponential sums $\sum\limits_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x) + Tr_1^n(\epsilon x)}$ in [15] and [12], and the weight distribution and then the minimum distance were completely determined in [20]. For even $n/2$, the binary code $\mathcal{C}^1$ has the same weight distribution as the Kasami code [9, 20]. Furthermore, for any k with $\gcd(k, n) = 2$ if $n/2$ is odd or $\gcd(k, n) = 1$ if $n/2$ is even, the weight distribution of binary codes $\mathcal{C}^k$ was also determined, and these codes were used to construct families of generalized Kasami sequences, which have the same correlation distribution and family size as the large set of Kasami sequences [23].

The purpose of this paper is to study the weight distribution of the code $\mathcal{C}^k$ in the nonbinary case, namely we assume p is odd, for a wide range of k that satisfies

$$\gcd(n/2, k) = \gcd(n/2 - k, 2k) = d \text{ being odd.} \tag{1.2}$$

Applying the techniques developed in [1], we describe some properties of the roots to the equation

$$\delta^{p^{n-k}} y^{p^{n/2-k}+1} + \gamma y + \delta = 0$$

Key words and phrases. Linear code, weight distribution, exponential sum, quadratic form.

with $\gamma\delta \neq 0$. Based on these properties and the theory of quadratic theory over finite fields of odd characteristic, we completely determine the weight distribution. As an application, these codes are also used to construct a class of nonbinary sequence families.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives some preliminaries and the main result. Section 3 considers the rank distribution of a class of quadratic forms. Section 4 determines the weight distribution of the nonbinary codes, and these codes are used to construct a class of nonbinary sequence families with low correlation in Section 5. Section 6 concludes the study.

2. Preliminaries and Main Result

For positive integers n and l with l dividing n, the trace function $Tr_l^n(\cdot)$ from $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p^l}$ is defined by

$$Tr_l^n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n/l-1} x^{p^{li}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}.$$

For the properties of the trace function, please see [14].

Let $q = p^n$. The field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is an n-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$. For any given basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n\}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, each element $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ can be uniquely represented as $x = x_1\alpha_1 + x_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + x_n\alpha_n$ with $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Under this representation, the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is identical to the $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space $\mathbb{F}_p^n$. A function $f(x)$ on $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is a *quadratic form* if it can be written as a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 on $\mathbb{F}_p^n$, namely of the form

$$f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_p$. The *rank of the quadratic form* $f(x)$ is defined as the codimension of the $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space

$$V_f = \Big\{ z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid f(x+z) = f(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \Big\}, \tag{2.1}$$

denoted by $\mathrm{rank}(f)$. Then $|V_f| = p^{n-\mathrm{rank}(f)}$.

For the quadratic form $f(x)$, there exists a symmetric matrix A such that

$$f(x) = X^{\mathrm{T}} A X,$$

where $X^{\mathrm{T}} = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ denotes the transpose of a column vector X. The *determinant* $\det(f)$ of $f(x)$ is defined to be the determinant of A, and $f(x)$ is *nondegenerate* if $\det(f) \neq 0$. By Theorem 6.21 of [14], there exists a nonsingular matrix B such that $B^{\mathrm{T}}AB$ is a diagonal matrix. Making a nonsingular linear substitution $X = BY$ with $Y^{\mathrm{T}} = (y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n)$, one has

$$f(x) = Y^{\mathrm{T}} B^{\mathrm{T}} A B Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i y_i^2 \tag{2.2}$$

for $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Notice that a degenerate quadratic form $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ is possibly nondegenerate over $\mathbb{F}_p^t$ $(t < n)$ after a nonsingular substitution.

The *quadratic character* of $\mathbb{F}_q$ is defined by

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \text{ is a square element in } \mathbb{F}_q^*, \\ -1, & \text{if } x \text{ is a nonsquare element in } \mathbb{F}_q^*, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

The following two lemmas about quadratic form will be frequently used to prove the results of this paper.

Lemma 1 (Theorems 6.26 and 6.27 of [14]*):* For odd q, let f be a nondegenerate quadratic form over $\mathbb{F}_q$ in l indeterminates, and a function $\upsilon(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ defined by

$$\upsilon(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*, \\ q-1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then for $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_q$ the number of solutions to the equation $f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \rho$ is

$$q^{l-1} + q^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{l-1}{2}} \rho \cdot \det(f)\right)$$

for odd l, and

$$q^{l-1} + \upsilon(\rho) q^{\frac{l-2}{2}} \eta\left((-1)^{\frac{l}{2}} \det(f)\right)$$

for even l.

Lemma 2 (Theorem 5.15 of [14]*):* Let ω be a complex primitive p-th root of unity. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \eta(k)\omega^k = \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p}.$$

A *p-ary $[m, l]$ linear code* $\mathcal{C}$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_p^m$ with dimension l. The *Hamming weight* of a codeword $c_1 c_2 \cdots c_m$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is the number of nonzero c_i for $1 \le i \le m$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of M p-ary sequences of period $p^n - 1$ given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \{s_i(t)\}_{t=0}^{p^n-2} \mid 0 \le i \le M-1 \right\}.$$

The *periodic correlation function* of the sequences $\{s_i(t)\}$ and $\{s_j(t)\}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ is

$$C_{i,j}(\tau) = \sum_{t=0}^{p^n-2} \omega^{s_i(t) - s_j(t+\tau)}$$

where $0 \le \tau \le p^n - 2$. The two sequences $\{s_i(t)\}$ and $\{s_j(t)\}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ is *cyclicly inequivalent* if $|C_{i,j}(\tau)| < p^n - 1$ for any τ. The *maximum magnitude* $C_{\max}$ of the correlation values is

$$C_{\max} = \max\{|C_{i,j}(\tau)| : i \ne j \text{ or } \tau \ne 0\}.$$

From now on, we always assume that the prime p is odd, and $n = 2m \ge 4$.

Since $Tr_1^n(\delta x^{p^k+1}) = Tr_1^n(\delta^{p^{n-k}} x^{p^{n-k}+1})$ and $\delta^{p^{n-k}}$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ as δ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, without loss of generality, the integer k in the definition of code $\mathcal{C}^k$ is assumed to satisfy $1 \le k < n/2$. For an odd integer t relatively prime to m, the integer $k = m - t$ satisfies Equality (1.2), and $d = 1$. In particular, for $t = 1$, $\gcd(m, k) = \gcd(m-k, 2k) = 1$. The parameter $k = m - 1$ corresponds to the binary Kasami code [9], and for this reason, we call these p-ary $[p^n - 1, 5m]$ linear codes $\mathcal{C}^k$ with k satisfying Equality (1.2) *the nonbinary Kasami codes.*

The main result of this paper is stated as the following theorem.

Theorem 1: For an even integer $n = 2m \ge 4$ and any positive integer k satisfying Equality (1.2), the weight distribution of the nonbinary Kasami codes $\mathcal{C}^k$ is given as Table 1.

This theorem will be proven by the techniques developed in the next two sections.

3. Rank Distribution of Quadratic Form $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$

This section investigates the rank distribution of the quadratic form $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$ defined by Equality (1.1) for either $\gamma \ne 0$ or $\delta \ne 0$.

The possible rank values of $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$ have a close relationship with the properties of the roots of the polynomial $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)$ in the following Proposition 1, which can be proven by applying the following lemma introduced by Bluher [1].

TABLE 1. Weight distribution of the nonbinary Kasami codes $\mathcal{C}^k$

weight	Frequency
0	1
$(p-1)p^{n-1}$	$(p^n-1)(1+p^{m+n-d}-p^{m+n-2d}+p^{m+n-2d-1}+p^{m+n-3d}-p^{n-2d})$
$(p-1)(p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})$	$p^d(p^m+1)(p^n-1)(p^{n-1}+(p-1)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})/\big(2(p^d+1)\big)$
$(p-1)(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})$	$(p^{n+d}-2p^n+p^d)(p^m-1)(p^{n-1}-(p-1)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})/\big(2(p^d-1)\big)$
$(p-1)p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$	$p^d(p^m+1)(p^n-1)(p-1)(p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})/\big(2(p^d+1)\big)$
$(p-1)p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$	$(p^{n+d}-2p^n+p^d)(p^m-1)(p-1)(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})/\big(2(p^d-1)\big)$
$(p-1)p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}$	$p^{m-d}(p^n-1)(p-1)(p^{n-d-1}+p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}})/2$
$(p-1)p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}$	$p^{m-d}(p^n-1)(p-1)(p^{n-d-1}-p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}})/2$
$(p-1)(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}})$	$(p^{m-d}-1)(p^n-1)\left(p^{n-2d-1}-(p-1)p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}}\right)/(p^{2d}-1)$
$(p-1)p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}}$	$(p^{m-d}-1)(p^n-1)(p-1)(p^{n-2d-1}+p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}})/(p^{2d}-1)$

Lemma 3 (Theorems 5.4 and 5.6 of [1]*):* Let $h_c(x)=x^{p^s+1}-cx+c$, $c\in\mathbb{F}_{p^l}^*$. Then $h_c(x)=0$ has either 0, 1, 2, or $p^{\gcd(s,l)}+1$ roots in $\mathbb{F}_{p^l}^*$. Further, let N_1 denote the number of $c\in\mathbb{F}_{p^l}^*$ such that $h_c(x)=0$ has exactly one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p^l}^*$, then $N_1=p^{l-\gcd(s,l)}$ and if $x_0\in\mathbb{F}_{p^l}^*$ is the unique solution of the equation, then $(x_0-1)^{\frac{p^l-1}{p^{\gcd(s,l)}-1}}=1$.

Proposition 1: Let $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=\delta^{p^{n-k}}y^{p^{m-k}+1}+\gamma y+\delta$ with $\gamma\delta\neq 0$, and d be defined as in Equality (1.2). Then

(1) The equation $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=0$ has either 0, 1, 2, or p^d+1 roots in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$;

(2) If y_1 and y_2 are two different solutions of $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=0$, then $(y_1y_2)^{\frac{p^n-1}{p^d-1}}=1$;

(3) If $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=0$ has exactly one solution $y_0\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, then $y_0^{\frac{p^n-1}{p^d-1}}=1$.

Proof: (1) Let $y=-\frac{\delta}{\gamma}x$ and $c=\frac{\gamma^{p^{m-k}+1}}{\delta^{p^{m-k}(p^m+1)}}$. The equation $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=0$ is equivalent to

$$x^{p^{m-k}+1}-cx+c=0. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $c\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*\subseteq\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ and $\gcd(m-k,n)=\gcd(m-k,2k)=d$ by Equality (1.2), again by Lemma 3, Equation (3.1) has either 0, 1, 2, or p^d+1 roots in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Thus, $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=0$ also has either 0, 1, 2, or p^d+1 roots in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

(2) Suppose that y_1, y_2 are two different solutions of $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=0$. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y_1y_2(y_1-y_2)^{p^{m-k}} &=& y_1^{p^{m-k}+1}y_2-y_2^{p^{m-k}+1}y_1 \\ &=& \left(-\frac{(\gamma y_1+\delta)y_2}{\delta^{p^{n-k}}}\right)-\left(-\frac{(\gamma y_2+\delta)y_1}{\delta^{p^{n-k}}}\right) \\ &=& \frac{\delta(y_1-y_2)}{\delta^{p^{n-k}}}, \end{array}$$

i.e.,

$$y_1y_2=\delta^{1-p^{n-k}}(y_1-y_2)^{1-p^{m-k}}.$$

This together with Equality (1.2) imply

$$(y_1y_2)^{\frac{p^n-1}{p^d-1}}=1.$$

(3) Suppose that y_0 is the unique solution of $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=0$. Since $y=-\frac{\delta}{\gamma}x$, one has $x_0=-\frac{\gamma y_0}{\delta}$ is the unique solution of Equation (3.1) in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Since $c\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, $x_0^{p^m}$ is also a solution of Equation (3.1). As a consequence, one has $x_0=x_0^{p^m}$, i.e., $x_0\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. Then, by Lemma 3, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (x_0-1)^{\frac{p^m-1}{p^d-1}} \\ &= \left(-\frac{\gamma y_0}{\delta}-1\right)^{\frac{p^m-1}{p^d-1}} \\ &= \left(-\frac{\gamma y_0+\delta}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{p^m-1}{p^d-1}} \\ &= \left(\delta^{p^{n-k}-1}y_0^{p^{m-k}+1}\right)^{\frac{p^m-1}{p^d-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly, if y is a solution of $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=0$, one can verify that $y^{p^m}\delta^{1-p^m}$ is also a solution of $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=0$. Thus, $y_0=y_0^{p^m}\delta^{1-p^m}$, i.e.,

$$y_0^{p^m-1}=\delta^{p^m-1}. \tag{3.3}$$

Notice that $d\mid m$ and $d\mid k$ by Equality (1.2). Then, Equalities (3.2) and (3.3) imply

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \delta^{\frac{(p^{n-k}-1)(p^m-1)}{p^d-1}}\, y_0^{\frac{(p^{m-k}+1)(p^m-1)}{p^d-1}} \\ &= y_0^{\frac{(p^{n-k}-1)(p^m-1)}{p^d-1}}\, y_0^{\frac{(p^{m-k}+1)(p^m-1)}{p^d-1}} \\ &= y_0^{\frac{p^{m-k}}{p^d-1}(p^n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$y_0^{\frac{p^n-1}{p^d-1}}=\left(y_0^{\frac{p^{m-k}}{p^d-1}(p^n-1)}\right)^{p^{m+k}}=1.$$

■

Remark 1: (1) For given γ and δ with $\gamma\delta\neq 0$, by Proposition 1(3), if $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)$ has exactly one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, then the unique solution is (p^d-1)-th power in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

(2) By Proposition 1(2), if $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)$ has at least two different solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, then all these solutions are (p^d-1)-th powers in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, or none of them are (p^d-1)-th powers in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Over the finite field of characteristic 2, an analogy of Proposition 1(2) was obtained to study the cross correlation between period-different m-sequences (Proposition 2 of [8]). But the analogy of Proposition 1(3) does not exist in the characteristic 2 case [8].

With Proposition 1, the rank of the quadratic form $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$ can be determined as follows.

Proposition 2: For $\gamma\neq 0$ or $\delta\neq 0$, the rank of $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$ is either n, $n-d$, or $n-2d$.

Proof: The integer $p^{n-\mathrm{rank}(\Pi_{\gamma,\delta})}$ is equal to the number of $z\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ such that

$$\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x+z)=\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x) \tag{3.4}$$

holds for all $x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Equation (3.4) holds if and only if

$$Tr_1^m\left(\gamma(x+z)^{p^m+1}\right)+Tr_1^n\left(\delta(x+z)^{p^k+1}\right)=Tr_1^m(\gamma x^{p^m+1})+Tr_1^n(\delta x^{p^k+1}),$$

or equivalently if and only if

$$Tr_1^m\left(\gamma(xz^{p^m}+x^{p^m}z)\right)+Tr_1^n\left(\delta(xz^{p^k}+x^{p^k}z)\right)+Tr_1^m(\gamma z^{p^m+1})+Tr_1^n(\delta z^{p^k+1})=0.$$

By the equality $xz^{p^m}+x^{p^m}z=Tr_m^n(xz^{p^m})$ and $\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, one has

$$Tr_1^n(\gamma xz^{p^m})+Tr_1^n\left(\delta(xz^{p^k}+x^{p^k}z)\right)+Tr_1^m(\gamma z^{p^m+1})+Tr_1^n(\delta z^{p^k+1})=0,$$

i.e.,

$$Tr_1^n\Big(\big(\gamma z^{p^m}+\delta z^{p^k}+(\delta z)^{p^{n-k}}\big)x\Big)+Tr_1^m(\gamma z^{p^m+1})+Tr_1^n(\delta z^{p^k+1})=0.$$

Thus, Equation (3.4) holds for all $x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ if and only if

$$\gamma z^{p^m}+\delta z^{p^k}+(\delta z)^{p^{n-k}}=0 \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$Tr_1^m(\gamma z^{p^m+1})+Tr_1^n(\delta z^{p^k+1})=0. \tag{3.6}$$

By Equation (3.5), one has

$$\begin{aligned} Tr_1^n(\delta z^{p^k+1}) &= -Tr_1^n(\gamma z^{p^m+1}+\delta^{p^{n-k}}z^{p^{n-k}+1})\\ &= -Tr_1^n(\gamma z^{p^m+1})-Tr_1^n(\delta^{p^{n-k}}z^{p^{n-k}+1})\\ &= -2\,Tr_1^m(\gamma z^{p^m+1})-Tr_1^n(\delta z^{p^k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

since $\gamma z^{p^m+1}\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, namely, Equation (3.5) implies Equation (3.6). Then, it is sufficient to calculate the number of solutions to Equation (3.5).

When $\delta=0$, one has $\gamma\neq 0$ and then Equation (3.5) has one unique solution $z=0$. In the sequel, we only consider the case $\delta\neq 0$.

If $\gamma=0$, Equation (3.5) is equivalent to $z(z^{p^{2k}-1}+\delta^{1-p^k})=0$. The number of all solutions to this equation is $p^{\gcd(2k,n)}=p^{2d}$ or 1 depending on whether $-\delta^{1-p^k}$ is a $(p^{2d}-1)$-th power in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ or not. Thus, in this case the rank of $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$ is either $n-2d$ or n.

For $\gamma\neq 0$, $\gamma z^{p^m}+\delta z^{p^k}+(\delta z)^{p^{n-k}}=z^{p^k}(\delta+\gamma z^{p^m-p^k}+\delta^{p^{n-k}}z^{p^{n-k}-p^k})=0$. Thus, we only need consider the number of nonzero solutions to the equation

$$\delta+\gamma z^{p^m-p^k}+\delta^{p^{n-k}}z^{p^{n-k}-p^k}=0. \tag{3.7}$$

Let $y=z^{p^k(p^{m-k}-1)}$, then Equation (3.7) becomes

$$g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=\delta^{p^{n-k}}y^{p^{m-k}+1}+\gamma y+\delta=0.$$

By Proposition 1(1), $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y)=0$ has either 0, 1, 2, or p^d+1 roots in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Remark 1 and the fact $\gcd(p^k(p^{m-k}-1),p^n-1)=p^d-1$ show that Equation (3.7) has 0, p^d-1, $2(p^d-1)$, or $(p^d-1)(p^d+1)=p^{2d}-1$ nonzero solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Then, Equation (3.5) has 1, p^d, $2p^d-1$, or p^{2d} solutions. Since $2p^d-1$ is not a power of p and hence is not the number of solutions of an F_p-linearization polynomial, the number of solutions to Equation (3.4) is equal to 1, p^d, or p^{2d}.

Therefore, the rank of $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$ is either n, $n-d$, or $n-2d$. ■

In order to further determine the rank distribution of $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$, we define three sets

$$R_i=\Big\{(\gamma,\delta)\mid \mathrm{rank}(\Pi_{\gamma,\delta})=n-i,(\gamma,\delta)\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\setminus\{(0,0)\}\Big\} \tag{3.8}$$

for $i=0$, d, and $2d$. To achieve this goal, we need to evaluate the exponential sum $S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$ defined by

$$S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon) = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}}\omega^{\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)+Tr_1^n(\epsilon x)} \tag{3.9}$$

where$\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, $\delta,\epsilon\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. For $\rho\in\mathbb{F}_p$, let $N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(\rho)$ denote the number of solutions to the equation $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)+Tr_1^n(\epsilon x)=\rho$. Then, the exponential sum can be expressed as

$$S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)=\sum_{\rho=0}^{p-1}N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(\rho)\omega^{\rho}. \tag{3.10}$$

Let $f(x)=\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$ be as in Equality (1.1). For convenience, for $i\in\{0,d,2d\}$, we define

$$\Delta_i=(-1)^{\lfloor\frac{n-i}{2}\rfloor}\prod_{j=1}^{n-i}a_j, \tag{3.11}$$

where $\lfloor\frac{n-i}{2}\rfloor$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding $\frac{n-i}{2}$, and the coefficients a_j are defined by Equality (2.2).

In what follows, we will study the values of $S(\gamma,\delta,0)$ according to the rank of $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$, and then use them to determine the rank distribution.

Case 1: $(\gamma,\delta)\in R_0$.

In this case, $\mathrm{rank}(\Pi_{\gamma,\delta})=n$ and every coefficient a_i in Equality (2.2) is nonzero. Since $\det(\Pi_{\gamma,\delta})(\det(B))^2=\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n}a_i$, one has $\eta\left(\det(\Pi_{\gamma,\delta})\right)=\eta(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n}a_i)$. Then by Lemma 1,

$$N_{\gamma,\delta,0}(\rho)=p^{n-1}+v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_0)$$

and then by Equality (3.10),

$$S(\gamma,\delta,0)=\eta(\Delta_0)p^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

since $\sum\limits_{\rho=0}^{p-1}v(\rho)\omega^{\rho}=p$.

Case 2: $(\gamma,\delta)\in R_d$.

Since $\mathrm{rank}(\Pi_{\gamma,\delta})=n-d$, by Equality (2.2), there exactly exist d integers i with $1\le i\le n$ such that $a_i=0$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n-d}a_i\neq 0$ and $a_i=0$ for $n-d<i\le n$. Then, $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-d}a_iy_i^2$, and by Lemma 1, for odd d, one has

$$\begin{aligned}N_{\gamma,\delta,0}(\rho)&=p^d\left(p^{n-d-1}+p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}}\eta(\rho\Delta_d)\right)\\&=p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}\eta(\rho\Delta_d).\end{aligned}$$

By Equality (3.10) and Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned}S(\gamma,\delta,0)&=\eta(\Delta_d)p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}\sum_{\rho=0}^{p-1}\eta(\rho)\omega^{\rho}\\&=\eta(\Delta_d)\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Case 3: $(\gamma,\delta)\in R_{2d}$.

Similarly as in Case 2, we can assume $\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n-2d}a_i\neq 0$ and $a_i=0$ for $n-2d<i\le n$. Then, a similar analysis shows that

$$N_{\gamma,\delta,0}(\rho)=p^{n-1}+v(\rho)p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_{2d})$$

and

$$S(\gamma,\delta,0)=\eta(\Delta_{2d})p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}.$$

For each $i\in\{0,d,2d\}$, we define two subsets of R_i as

$$R_{i,j}=\Big\{(\gamma,\delta)\in R_i\mid \eta(\Delta_i)=j\Big\} \tag{3.12}$$

for $j=\pm 1$.

Since d is odd, the cardinality of $R_{d,1}$ can be proven to be the same as that of $R_{d,-1}$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 4: $|R_{d,1}| = |R_{d,-1}|$.

Proof: Let $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_d$ and let $u \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ such that its inverse element satisfies $\eta(u^{-1}) = -1$. By Equality (3.9) and the analysis in Case 2, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
S(u\gamma, u\delta, 0) &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \omega^{\Pi_{u\gamma,u\delta}(x)} \\
&= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \omega^{u\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)} \\
&= \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p} N_{\gamma,\delta,0}(u^{-1}\rho) w^{\rho} \\
&= p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}} \sum_{\rho=0}^{p-1} \eta(u^{-1}\rho\Delta_d)\omega^{\rho} \\
&= \eta(u^{-1}) p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}} \sum_{\rho=0}^{p-1} \eta(\rho\Delta_d)\omega^{\rho} \\
&= -S(\gamma, \delta, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

The above equality shows that for $j \in \{1, -1\}$, and if $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_{d,j}$, then $(u\gamma, u\delta) \in R_{d,-j}$. Thus, one has $|R_{d,1}| = |R_{d,-1}|$. ■

Applying Proposition 1 and Lemma 4, the cardinalities of $|R_d|$ and $|R_{d,\pm 1}|$ can be determined as below.

Proposition 3: The set R_d consists of $p^{m-d}(p^n - 1)$ elements. Further, $|R_{d,\pm 1}| = p^{m-d}(p^n - 1)/2$.

Proof: By Equality (3.8), it is sufficient to determine the number of $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that Equation (3.5) has exactly p^d solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. By the proof of Proposition 2, this case can occur only if $\gamma\delta \neq 0$.

Let $W = \{x^{p^d - 1} | \ x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*\}$ be the set of nonzero $(p^d - 1)$-th powers in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. By Proposition 1(1), the equation $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y) = 0$ in Proposition 2 has either 0, 1, 2, or $p^d + 1$ roots in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

When $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y) = 0$ has at least two different roots in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, by Proposition 1(2) and Remark 1, if one of the solutions belongs to W, then all these solutions are also in W and Equation (3.5) has at least $2(p^d - 1) + 1 = 2p^d - 1$ solutions. If none of these solutions belong to W, then Equation (3.5) has only the unique solution $z = 0$. Thus, in this case the number of solutions to Equation (3.5) can never be p^d.

If $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y) = 0$ has exactly one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, by Proposition 1(3) and Remark 1, Equation (3.5) has $1 \times (p^d - 1) + 1 = p^d$ solutions. Since $y = -\frac{\delta}{\gamma}x$, $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y) = 0$ has exactly one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ if and only if Equation (3.1) has exactly one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$. Furthermore, in this case the unique solution to Equation (3.1) belongs to $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ by the analysis in the proof Proposition 1(3). When Equation (3.1) has exactly one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$, it has exactly one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ since this equation has either 0, 1, 2, or $p^d + 1$ roots in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ and its solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ occur in pairs. Therefore, $g_{\delta,\gamma}(y) = 0$ has exactly one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ if and only if Equation (3.1) has exactly one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$.

By Lemma 3, the number of $c \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ such that Equation (3.1) has exactly one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ is p^{m-d}. For any fixed $\gamma \in F_{p^m}^*$, $c = \frac{\gamma^{p^{m-k}+1}}{\delta^{p^{m-k}(p^m+1)}}$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ exactly $(p^m + 1)$ times when δ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$. Therefore, when γ and δ run throughout $F_{p^m}^*$ and $F_{p^n}^*$, respectively, there are exactly

$$|R_d| = (p^m - 1)p^{m-d}(p^m + 1) = p^{m-d}(p^n - 1)$$

elements in R_d. This together with Lemma 4 finish the proof. ■

The following proposition describes the sums of i-th powers of $S(\gamma,\delta,0)$ for $1\leq i\leq 3$.

Proposition 4: (i)

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}} S(\gamma,\delta,0)=p^{n+m}.$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}} S(\gamma,\delta,0)^2=\left\{\begin{array}{cc} p^{n+m}, & p\equiv 3 \bmod 4,\\ (2p^n-1)p^{n+m}, & p\equiv 1 \bmod 4.\end{array}\right.$$

(iii)

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\sum_{\delta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}} S(\gamma,\delta,0)^3=p^{n+m}(p^{n+d}+p^n-p^d).$$

Proof: The proof of (i) is trivial, and we only give the proof of (ii) and (iii).

(ii) It is true that

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \sum\limits_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m},\delta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}} S(\gamma,\delta,0)^2\\ = & \sum\limits_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m},\delta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}}\sum\limits_{x,y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \omega^{Tr_1^m\left(\gamma(x^{p^m+1}+y^{p^m+1})\right)+Tr_1^n\left(\delta(x^{p^k+1}+y^{p^k+1})\right)}\\ = & \sum\limits_{x,y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}}\sum\limits_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \omega^{Tr_1^m\left(\gamma(x^{p^m+1}+y^{p^m+1})\right)}\sum\limits_{\delta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \omega^{Tr_1^n\left(\delta(x^{p^k+1}+y^{p^k+1})\right)}\\ = & p^{n+m}|T_2| \end{array}$$

where T_2 consists of all solutions $(x,y)\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to the following system of equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} x^{p^m+1}+y^{p^m+1}=0,\\ x^{p^k+1}+y^{p^k+1}=0.\end{array}\right. \tag{3.13}$$

If $xy=0$, then $x=y=0$ by Equation (3.13).

If $xy\neq 0$, again by Equation (3.13), one has

$$(\frac{x}{y})^{p^k(p^{m-k}-1)}=1$$

which implies $(\frac{x}{y})^{p^{m-k}-1}=\left((\frac{x}{y})^{p^k(p^{m-k}-1)}\right)^{p^{n-k}}=1$, that is to say, $\frac{x}{y}\in\mathbb{F}_{p^{m-k}}$ and then

$$\frac{x}{y}\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*\cap\mathbb{F}_{p^{m-k}}^*=\mathbb{F}_{p^d}^*$$

since $\gcd(m-k,n)=\gcd(m-k,2k)=d$ by Equality (1.2). Let $x=ty$ for some $t\in\mathbb{F}_{p^d}^*$, then Equation (3.13) becomes

$$t^2+1=0 \tag{3.14}$$

since $x^{p^m+1}=y^{p^m+1}(t^{p^{d\cdot\frac{m}{d}}})t=y^{p^m+1}t^2$ and similarly $x^{p^k+1}=y^{p^k+1}t^2$.

For $p\equiv 3 \bmod 4$, -1 is a non-square element in $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ since d is odd. Thus, Equation (3.14) has no solutions and $|T_2|=1$. For $p\equiv 1 \bmod 4$, -1 is a square element in $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ and Equation (3.14) has 2 solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}^*$. Then, $|T_2|=1+2(p^n-1)=2p^n-1$.

(iii) Similar analysis as in (ii) shows

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m},\delta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}} S(\gamma,\delta,0)^3=p^{n+m}\mid T_3\mid,$$

where T_3 consists of all solutions $(x,y,z)\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} x^{p^m+1}+y^{p^m+1}+z^{p^m+1}=0,\\ x^{p^k+1}+y^{p^k+1}+z^{p^k+1}=0. \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

For $xyz=0$, then $x=y=z=0$, or there are exactly two nonzero elements in $\{x,y,z\}$. Thus, by Equation (3.13), in this case the number of solutions to Equation (3.15) is equal to $3(|T_2|-1)+1=3|T_2|-2$. For $xyz\neq 0$, the number of solutions to Equation (3.15) is (p^n-1) multiples of that to

$$\begin{cases} x^{p^m+1}+y^{p^m+1}+1=0,\\ x^{p^k+1}+y^{p^k+1}+1=0 \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

where $x,y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$. By Equation (3.16), one has

$$\begin{cases} x^{(p^m+1)(p^k+1)}=\Big(-(y^{p^k+1}+1)\Big)^{p^m+1}=y^{(p^m+1)(p^k+1)}+y^{(p^k+1)p^m}+y^{p^k+1}+1,\\ x^{(p^m+1)(p^k+1)}=\big(-(y^{p^m+1}+1)\big)^{p^k+1}=y^{(p^k+1)(p^m+1)}+y^{(p^m+1)p^k}+y^{p^m+1}+1. \end{cases}$$

This implies

$$y^{(p^k+1)p^m}+y^{p^k+1}-y^{(p^m+1)p^k}-y^{p^m+1}=(y^{p^{m+k}}-y)(y^{p^m}-y^{p^k})=0.$$

By Equality (1.2), one has $\gcd(m+k,n)=d$. Then $y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^{m+k}}\cap\mathbb{F}_{p^n}=\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$, or $y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\cap\mathbb{F}_{p^k}=\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$, i.e., $y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$. Similarly, one has $x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$. By the fact $\gcd(m,k)=d$ and a similar analysis as in the derivation of Equality (3.14), Equation (3.16) is equivalent to

$$x^2+y^2+1=0,\ x,\, y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^d}^*. \tag{3.17}$$

By Lemma 1, the number of all solutions $(x,y)\in\mathbb{F}_{p^d}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ to the equation $x^2+y^2+1=0$ is $p^d+v(-1)\eta(-1)$, where $\eta(-1)=1$ if -1 is a square element in F_{p^d}, and -1 otherwise. Notice that the solutions satisfying $xy=0$ and $x^2+y^2+1=0$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$ do not exist for $p\equiv 3 \bmod 4$, and they are exactly $(0,\pm\alpha^{\frac{p^n-1}{4}})$ and $(\pm\alpha^{\frac{p^n-1}{4}},0)$ for $p\equiv 1 \bmod 4$, where α is a primitive element of F_{p^n}. As a consequence, the number of all solutions to Equation (3.17) is given by

$$\begin{cases} p^d+1, & p\equiv 3 \bmod 4,\\ (p^d-1)-4, & p\equiv 1 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Thus, one has

$$\mid T_3\mid=1+(p^d+1)(p^n-1)=p^{n+d}+p^n-p^d$$

for $p\equiv 3 \bmod 4$, and

$$\mid T_3\mid=3(2p^n-1)-2+(p^d-5)(p^n-1)=p^{n+d}+p^n-p^d$$

for $p\equiv 1 \bmod 4$.

Therefore, $|T_3|=p^{n+d}+p^n-p^d$. ■

With the above preparations, the rank distribution of $\Pi_{\delta,\gamma}(x)$ can be determined as follows.

Since $S(0,0,0)=p^n$, by Proposition 4 and the values of $S(\gamma,\delta,0)$ corresponding to the rank of $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$, one has

$$\begin{cases} p^{\frac{n}{2}}(|R_{0,1}|-|R_{0,-1}|)+p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}(|R_{2d,1}|-|R_{2d,-1}|)+p^n=\sum\limits_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\sum\limits_{\delta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}}S(\gamma,\delta,0),\\ p^n(|R_{0,1}|+|R_{0,-1}|)+(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p^{n+d}|R_d|+p^{n+2d}(|R_{2d,1}|+|R_{2d,-1}|)+p^{2n}\\ \qquad\qquad=\sum\limits_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\sum\limits_{\delta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}}S(\gamma,\delta,0)^2,\\ p^{\frac{3n}{2}}(|R_{0,1}|-|R_{0,-1}|)+p^{\frac{3n}{2}+3d}(|R_{2d,1}|-|R_{2d,-1}|)+p^{3n}=\sum\limits_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\sum\limits_{\delta\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}}S(\gamma,\delta,0)^3. \end{cases}$$

TABLE 2. Rank distribution of the quadratic form $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$

Rank	Frequency
n	$(p^{m+n+2d}+p^n+p^{m+d}-p^{m+n}-p^{m+n+d}-p^{2d})/(p^{2d}-1)$
$n-d$	$p^{m-d}(p^n-1)$
$n-2d$	$(p^{m-d}-1)(p^n-1)/(p^{2d}-1)$

This together with the equality

$$|R_{0,1}|+|R_{0,-1}|+|R_d|+|R_{2d,1}|+|R_{2d,-1}|=p^{n+m}-1$$

as well as Proposition 3 gives

$$\begin{cases} |R_{0,1}|=\frac{p^d(p^m+1)(p^n-1)}{2(p^d+1)}, \\ |R_{0,-1}|=\frac{(p^{n+d}-2p^n+p^d)(p^m-1)}{2(p^d-1)}, \\ |R_{2d,1}|=0, \\ |R_{2d,-1}|=\frac{(p^{m-d}-1)(p^n-1)}{p^{2d}-1}. \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

Therefore, we have the following result.

Proposition 5: When (γ,δ) runs through $F_{p^m}\times F_{p^n}\setminus\{(0,0)\}$, the rank distribution of the quadratic form $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)$ is given by Table 2.

4. WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION OF THE NONBINARY KASAMI CODES

This section determines the weight distribution of the nonbinary Kasami codes $\mathcal{C}^k$. Furthermore, we also give the distribution of $S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$, which will be used to derive the correlation distribution of the sequence families proposed in next section.

Since the weight of the codeword $c(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$ is equal to $p^n-1-(N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0)-1)=p^n-N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0)$, it is sufficient to find $N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0)$ for any given γ,δ,ϵ.

Under the basis $\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_n\}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, let $\epsilon=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_i\alpha_i$ with $\epsilon_i\in\mathbb{F}_p$. Then, $Tr_1^n(\epsilon x)=\Lambda^{\mathrm{T}}CX$ where $\Lambda^{\mathrm{T}}=(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\cdots,\epsilon_n)\in\mathbb{F}_p^n$ and the matrix $C=(Tr_1^n(\alpha_i\alpha_j))_{1\le i,j\le n}$, which is nonsingular since $\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Making a nonsingular substitution $X=BY$ as in Section 2, one has

$$\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)+Tr_1^n(\epsilon x)=Y^{\mathrm{T}}B^{\mathrm{T}}ABY+\Lambda^{\mathrm{T}}CBY=\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_iy_i^2+\sum_{i=1}^{n}b_iy_i \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Lambda^{\mathrm{T}}CB=(b_1,b_2,\cdots,b_n)$. Then, for any $\rho\in\mathbb{F}_p$, $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x)+Tr_1^n(\epsilon x)=\rho$ if and only if $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}a_iy_i^2+\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}b_iy_i=\rho$.

We calculate the values of $N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(\rho)$ $(\rho\in\mathbb{F}_p)$ and the exponential sum $S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$ as follows:

Case 1: $(\gamma,\delta)=(0,0)$.

For $\epsilon\ne0$, since the function $Tr_1^n(\epsilon x)$ is linear from $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_p$, the weight of $c(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$ is $(p-1)p^{n-1}$, and then

$$S(0,0,\epsilon)=\begin{cases} 0, & \epsilon\ne0, \\ p^n, & \epsilon=0. \end{cases}$$

Case 2: $(\gamma,\delta)\ne(0,0)$.

Case 2.1: $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_0$.

A substitution $y_i = z_i - \frac{b_i}{2a_i}$ for $1 \le i \le n$ leads to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_i y_i^2 + b_i y_i) = \rho \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i z_i^2 = \lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon} + \rho,$$

where $\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i}$. Then, for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and given $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_0$, by Lemma 1, one has

$$N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(\rho) = p^{n-1} + v(\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon} + \rho)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_0). \tag{4.2}$$

When ϵ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, $(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ since CB is nonsingular. Notice that $\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}$ is a quadratic form with n variables b_i for $1 \le i \le n$. Then, for any given $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_0$, by Lemma 1, when ϵ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, one has

$$\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i} = \rho' \text{ occurs } p^{n-1} + v(\rho')p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_0) \text{ times} \tag{4.3}$$

for each $\rho' \in \mathbb{F}_p$ since $\eta\Bigg(\frac{1}{4^n \prod\limits_{i=1}^{n} a_i}\Bigg) = \eta\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right)$. Thus, when ϵ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, by Equalities (4.2) and (4.3),

$$N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0) = p^{n-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_0)$$

occurs $p^{n-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_0)$ times, and

$$N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0) = p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_0)$$

occurs $(p-1)\left(p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_0)\right)$ times.

By Equalities (3.10) and (4.2), one has

$$\begin{aligned} S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon) &= \sum_{\rho\in\mathbb{F}_p}\left(p^{n-1} + v(\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}+\rho)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_0)\right)\omega^{\rho} \\ &= \eta(\Delta_0)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\sum_{\rho\in\mathbb{F}_p} v(\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}+\rho)\omega^{\rho} \\ &= \eta(\Delta_0)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\omega^{-\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}}\sum_{\rho\in\mathbb{F}_p} v(\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}+\rho)\omega^{\rho+\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}} \\ &= \eta(\Delta_0)p^{\frac{n}{2}}\omega^{-\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the fourth equal sign holds since $\sum\limits_{\rho\in\mathbb{F}_p} v(\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}+\rho)\omega^{\rho+\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}} = p$. Notice that $v(-\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}) = v(\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon})$. By Equality (4.3), for given $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_0$, when ϵ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$,

$$S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon) = \eta(\Delta_0)p^{\frac{n}{2}}\omega^{\rho} \text{ occurs } p^{n-1} + v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_0) \text{ times}$$

for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

Case 2.2: $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_d$.

In this case, one has

$$\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x) + Tr_1^n(\epsilon x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} a_i y_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i y_i.$$

If there exists some $b_i \neq 0$ for $n - d < i \le n$, then for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(\rho) = p^{n-1}$ and by Equality (3.10), $S(\gamma, \delta, \epsilon) = 0$. Further, for given $(\gamma, \delta) \in R_d$, when ϵ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, there are exactly $p^n - p^{n-d}$ choices for ϵ such that there is at least one $b_i \neq 0$ with $n - d < i \le n$ since CB is nonsingular.

If $b_i = 0$ for all $n-d < i \le n$, a similar analysis as in Case 2.1 shows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-d}(a_i y_i^2 + b_i y_i) = \rho \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} a_i z_i^2 = \lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon} + \rho,$$

where $\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-d} \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i}$ and $z_i = y_i + \frac{b_i}{2a_i}$ for $1 \le i \le n-d$. Then, for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and given $(\gamma,\delta) \in R_d$, by Lemma 1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(\rho) &= p^d\left(p^{n-d-1} + p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}}\eta\left((\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}+\rho)\Delta_d\right)\right) \\ &= p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}\eta\left((\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}+\rho)\Delta_d\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

since $n-d$ is odd. For given $(\gamma,\delta) \in R_d$, by Lemma 1, when $(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{n-d})$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_p^{n-d}$, one has

$$\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-d} \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i} = \rho' \text{ occurs } p^{n-d-1} + \eta(\rho')p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}}\eta(\Delta_d) \text{ times} \tag{4.5}$$

for each $\rho' \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Since there are $\frac{p-1}{2}$ square and non-square elements in $\mathbb{F}_{p^*}$, respectively, $\eta(\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}) = 0$ occurs p^{n-d-1} times, and ±1 occur $\frac{p-1}{2}\left(p^{n-d-1} \pm p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}}\eta(\Delta_d)\right)$ times, respectively. Therefore, when $(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{n-d})$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_p^{n-d}$,

$$N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0) = p^{n-1} \text{ occurs } p^{n-d-1} \text{ times},$$

and

$$N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0) = p^{n-1} \pm p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}\eta(\Delta_d)$$

occurs $\frac{p-1}{2}\left(p^{n-d-1} \pm p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}}\eta(\Delta_d)\right)$ times.

By Equality (4.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned} S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon) &= \sum_{\rho\in\mathbb{F}_p}\left(p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}\eta((\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}+\rho)\Delta_d)\right)\omega^{\rho} \\ &= \eta(\Delta_d)p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}\sum_{\rho\in\mathbb{F}_p}\eta(\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}+\rho)\omega^{\rho} \\ &= \eta(\Delta_d)p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}\omega^{-\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}}\sum_{\rho\in\mathbb{F}_p}\eta(\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}+\rho)\omega^{\rho+\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}} \\ &= \eta(\Delta_d)p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}\,\omega^{-\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the fourth equal sign holds due to Lemma 2.

By Equality (4.5), for given $(\gamma,\delta) \in R_d$, when $(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{n-d})$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_p^{n-d}$,

$$S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon) = \eta(\Delta_d)p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}\,\omega^{\rho} \text{ occurs } p^{n-d-1} + \eta(-\rho)p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}}\eta(\Delta_d) \text{ times}$$

for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

Case 2.3: $(\gamma,\delta) \in R_{2d}$.

In this case, one has

$$\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}(x) + Tr_1^n(\epsilon x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2d} a_i y_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i y_i.$$

Similarly as in Case 2.2, if there exists some $b_i \ne 0$ with $n-2d < i \le n$, then $N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(\rho) = p^{n-1}$ for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and $S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon) = 0$. Further, for given $(\gamma,\delta) \in R_{2d}$, when ϵ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, there are $p^n - p^{n-2d}$ choices for ϵ such that there is at least one $b_i \ne 0$ with $n-2d < i \le n$.

If $b_i = 0$ for all $n-2d < i \le n$, a similar analysis shows that for any $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and given $(\gamma,\delta) \in R_{2d}$, by Lemma 1, one has

$$N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(\rho) = p^{n-1} + v(\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon} + \rho)p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_{2d}), \tag{4.6}$$

where $\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-2d} \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i}$. For given $(\gamma,\delta) \in R_{2d}$, when $(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{n-2d})$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_p^{n-2d}$, by Lemma 1, one has

$$\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2d} \frac{b_i^2}{4a_i} = \rho' \text{ occurs } p^{n-2d-1} + v(\rho')p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_{2d}) \text{ times} \tag{4.7}$$

for each $\rho' \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Thus, when $(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{n-2d})$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_p^{n-2d}$,

$$N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0) = p^{n-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_{2d})$$

occurs $p^{n-2d-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_{2d})$ times, and

$$N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0) = p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_{2d})$$

occurs $(p-1)\left(p^{n-2d-1} - p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_{2d})\right)$ times. By Equality (4.6), one has

$$S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon) = \eta(\Delta_{2d})p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}\omega^{-\lambda_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}}.$$

By Equality (4.7), for given $(\gamma,\delta) \in R_{2d}$, when $(b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{n-2d})$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_p^{n-2d}$,

$$S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon) = \eta(\Delta_{2d})p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}\omega^{\rho} \text{ occurs } p^{n-2d-1} + v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}}\eta(\Delta_{2d}) \text{ times}$$

for each $\rho \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

For $i \in \{0, d, 2d\}$ and $j \in \{1, -1\}$, since $\eta(\Delta_i) = j$ for $(\gamma,\delta) \in R_{i,j}$, Theorem 1 can be proven by the above analysis, Equality (3.18), and Proposition 3.

Proof of Theorem 1: We only give the frequencies of the codewords with weights $(p-1)p^{n-1}$, $(p-1)(p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})$, and $(p-1)p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}$. The other cases can be proven in a similar way.

The weight of $c(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$ is equal to $(p-1)p^{n-1}$ if and only if $N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0) = p^{n-1}$, which occurs only in Cases 1, 2.2 and 2.3. The frequency is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & p^n - 1 + \left((p^n - p^{n-d}) + p^{n-d-1}\right)|R_d| + (p^n - p^{n-2d})|R_{2d}| \\ = \ & (p^n-1)(1 + p^{m+n-d} - p^{m+n-2d} + p^{m+n-2d-1} + p^{m+n-3d} - p^{n-2d}). \end{aligned}$$

The weight of $c(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$ is $(p-1)(p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})$ if and only if $N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0) = p^{n-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$, which occurs only in Case 2.1. The frequency is equal to

$$(p^{n-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})|R_{0,1}| = p^d(p^m+1)(p^n-1)(p^{n-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}) / \left(2(p^d+1)\right).$$

For $c(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$, its weight equals to $(p-1)p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}$ if and only if $N_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon}(0) = p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n+d-1}{2}}$, which occurs only in Case 2.2. The frequency is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \tfrac{p-1}{2}(p^{n-d-1} + p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}}(-1))|R_{d,-1}| + \tfrac{p-1}{2}(p^{n-d-1} - p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}})|R_{d,1}| \\ = \ & p^{m-d}(p^n-1)(p-1)(p^{n-d-1} - p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}})/2. \end{aligned}$$

■

Remark 2: (1) From Table 1, the code $\mathcal{C}^k$ has 9 different weights for $d = 1$, and 10 different weights for $d > 1$.

(2) The codewords with weight $(p-1)(p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}})$ or $(p-1)p^{n-1} + p^{\frac{n+2d-2}{2}}$ do not exist in $\mathcal{C}^k$ since $|R_{2d,1}| = 0$.

The following result can also be similarly proven and we omit its proof here.

TABLE 3. Distribution of $S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$ (with ρ varying in $\mathbb{F}_p$)

$S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$	Frequency
p^n	1
0	$(p^n-1)\left(1+p^{m+n-d}-p^{m+n-2d}+p^{m+n-3d}-p^{n-2d}\right)$
$p^{\frac{n}{2}}\omega^{\rho}$	$p^d(p^m+1)(p^n-1)\left(p^{n-1}+v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right)/\left(2(p^d+1)\right)$
$-p^{\frac{n}{2}}\omega^{\rho}$	$(p^{n+d}-2p^n+p^d)(p^m-1)\left(p^{n-1}-v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right)/\left(2(p^d-1)\right)$
$p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}\omega^{\rho}$	$p^{m-d}(p^n-1)(p^{n-d-1}+\eta(-\rho)p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}})/2$
$-p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}\omega^{\rho}$	$p^{m-d}(p^n-1)(p^{n-d-1}-\eta(-\rho)p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}})/2$
$-p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}\omega^{\rho}$	$(p^{m-d}-1)(p^n-1)\left(p^{n-2d-1}-v(\rho)p^{\frac{n-2d-2}{2}}\right)/(p^{2d}-1)$

Proposition 6: For $n=2m\geq 4$, the exponential sum $S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$ defined in Equality (3.9) has the distribution given in Table 3 when (γ,δ,ϵ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

5. A CLASS OF NONBINARY SEQUENCE FAMILIES

By choosing cyclicly inequivalent codewords from $\mathcal{C}^k$, a family of nonbinary sequences is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^k=\Big\{\{s_{a,b}(\alpha^t)\}_{0\leq t\leq p^n-2}\mid a\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m},b\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\Big\},\tag{5.1}$$

where α is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, and

$$s_{a,b}(\alpha^t)=Tr_1^m(a\alpha^{(p^m+1)t})+Tr_1^n(b\alpha^{(p^k+1)t}+\alpha^t).$$

The subfamily consisting of the sequences $\{s_{a,0}(\alpha^t)\}_{0\leq t\leq p^n-2}$ for all $a\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ (and with fixing $b=0$) has been considered in [13] and Appendix A of [10] respectively, and its correlation distribution was determined in [13]. For $k=m+1$, possible correlation values of the family $\mathcal{F}^{m+1}$ was discussed in [22], but the correlation distribution remains unsolved.

To aim at the correlation distribution of $\mathcal{F}^k$, we write the correlation function between two sequences s_{a_1,b_1} and s_{a_2,b_2} as

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_{a_1b_1,a_2b_2}(\tau)\\
=\;&\sum_{t=0}^{p^n-2}\omega^{s_{a_1,b_1}(t)-s_{a_2,b_2}(t+\tau)}\\
=\;&\sum_{t=0}^{p^n-2}\omega^{Tr_1^m\left((a_1-a_2\alpha^{(p^m+1)\tau})\alpha^{(p^m+1)t}\right)+Tr_1^n\left((b_1-b_2\alpha^{(p^k+1)\tau})\alpha^{(p^k+1)t}+(1-\alpha^{\tau})\alpha^t\right)}\\
=\;&-1+\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}}\omega^{Tr_1^m\left((a_1-a_2\alpha^{(p^m+1)\tau})x^{p^m+1}\right)+Tr_1^n\left((b_1-b_2\alpha^{(p^k+1)\tau})x^{p^k+1}+(1-\alpha^{\tau})x\right)}\\
=\;&-1+S(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_1=a_1-a_2\alpha^{(p^m+1)\tau},\ \lambda_2=b_1-b_2\alpha^{(p^k+1)\tau},\ \lambda_3=1-\alpha^{\tau}.\tag{5.2}$$

With this, the distribution of correlation values of the family $\mathcal{F}^k$ can be described in terms of the exponential sum $S(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$.

A simple property of $S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$ is described as below.

Lemma 5: For any given $\epsilon\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, when (γ,δ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, the distribution of $S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$ is the same as that of $S(\gamma,\delta,1)$.

TABLE 4. Correlation distribution of the sequence family $\mathcal{F}^k$ (with ρ varying in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$)

Correlation value	Frequency
p^n-1	$p^{\frac{3n}{2}}$
-1	$p^{\frac{3n}{2}}(p^n-2)\left(1+p^{\frac{3n}{2}-d}-p^{\frac{3n}{2}-2d}+p^{\frac{3n}{2}-3d}-p^{n-2d}\right)$
$p^{\frac{n}{2}}-1$	$p^{\frac{3n}{2}+d}(p^{\frac{n}{2}}+1)\left((p^n-2)(p^{n-1}+p^{\frac{n}{2}}-p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})+1\right)/\left(2(p^d+1)\right)$
$p^{\frac{n}{2}}\omega^\rho-1$	$p^{\frac{3n}{2}+d}(p^{\frac{n}{2}}+1)(p^n-2)(p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})/\left(2(p^d+1)\right)$
$-p^{\frac{n}{2}}-1$	$p^{\frac{3n}{2}}(p^{n+d}-2p^n+p^d)\left((p^n-2)(p^{n-1}-p^{\frac{n}{2}}+p^{\frac{n-2}{2}})+1\right)/\left(2(p^{\frac{n}{2}}+1)(p^d-1)\right)$
$-p^{\frac{n}{2}}\omega^\rho-1$	$p^{2n-1}(p^{n+d}-2p^n+p^d)(p^n-2)/\left(2(p^d-1)\right)$
$\pm p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}-1$	$p^{2n-d}\left((p^n-2)p^{n-d-1}+1\right)/2$
$p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\omega^\rho}-1$	$p^{2n-d}(p^n-2)\left(p^{n-d-1}+\eta(-\rho)p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}}\right)/2$
$-p^{\frac{n+d}{2}}\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\omega^\rho}-1$	$p^{2n-d}(p^n-2)\left(p^{n-d-1}-\eta(-\rho)p^{\frac{n-d-1}{2}}\right)/2$
$-p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}-1$	$p^{\frac{3n}{2}}(p^{\frac{n}{2}-d}-1)\left((p^n-2)(p^{n-2d-1}-p^{\frac{n}{2}-d}+p^{\frac{n}{2}-d-1})+1\right)/(p^{2d}-1)$
$-p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}\omega^\rho-1$	$p^{\frac{3n}{2}}(p^{\frac{n}{2}-d}-1)(p^n-2)(p^{n-2d-1}+p^{\frac{n}{2}-d-1})/(p^{2d}-1)$

Proof: For any fixed $\epsilon\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon) &= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}}\omega^{Tr_1^m(\gamma x^{p^m+1})+Tr_1^n(\delta x^{p^k+1}+\epsilon x)}\\
&= \sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}}\omega^{Tr_1^m(\gamma\epsilon^{-(p^m+1)}y^{p^m+1})+Tr_1^n(\delta\epsilon^{-(p^k+1)}y^{p^k+1}+y)}\\
&= S(\gamma\epsilon^{-(p^m+1)},\delta\epsilon^{-(p^k+1)},1).
\end{aligned}$$

For any fixed $\epsilon\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$, when (γ,δ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, so does $(\gamma\epsilon^{-(p^m+1)},\delta\epsilon^{-(p^k+1)})$. Thus, the distribution of $S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)$ is the same as that of $S(\gamma,\delta,1)$. ■

Theorem 2: Let $\mathcal{F}^k$ be the family of sequences defined in Equality (5.1). Then, $\mathcal{F}^k$ is a family of $p^{\frac{3n}{2}}$ nonbinary sequences with period p^n-1, and its maximum correlation magnitude is equal to $p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}+1$. Further, its correlation distribution is given in Table 4.

Proof: By Equality (5.2), for any fixed $(a_2,b_2)\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, when (a_1,b_1) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and τ varies from 0 to p^n-2, $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\times\{\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\backslash\{1\}\}$ one time. Thus, the correlation distribution of $\mathcal{F}^k$ is $p^{\frac{3n}{2}}$ times as that of $S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)-1$ when (γ,δ,ϵ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\times\{\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\backslash\{1\}\}$. By Proposition 3, Equality (3.18) and the possible values of $S(\gamma,\delta,0)$ corresponding to (γ,δ), the distribution of $S(\gamma,\delta,0)-1$ is obtained when (γ,δ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. This together with Proposition 6 give the distribution of $S(\gamma,\delta,\gamma)-1$ as (γ,δ,ϵ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$. By Lemma 5, the distribution of $S(\gamma,\delta,\epsilon)-1$ can be determined when (γ,δ,ϵ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}\times\{\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*\backslash\{1\}\}$. Together with the distribution of $S(\gamma,\delta,0)-1$ as (γ,δ) runs through $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, the correlation distribution is given as Table 4.

By the definition of the sequence $\{s_{a,b}(\alpha^t)\}_{0\le t\le p^n-2}$, it is easy to check its period is p^n-1. From the correlation distribution given in Table 4, one easily knows there are exactly $p^{\frac{3n}{2}}$ sequences in the family $\mathcal{F}^k$ and the maximum magnitude is $p^{\frac{n}{2}+d}+1$.

This finishes the proof. ■

Remark 3: (1) By Table 4, the correlation function of $\mathcal{F}^k$ takes $5p+2$ values. Take $k = m - t$ for any odd integers t relatively prime to m as stated in Section 2, then $d = 1$ and the families $\mathcal{F}^k$ have the maximum magnitude $p^{\frac{n}{2}+1} + 1$.

(2) Notice that if we remove the term $Tr_1^n(\alpha^t)$ in Equality (5.1) and define a sequence set as

$$\Big\{\{Tr_1^m(a\alpha^{(p^m+1)t}) + Tr_1^n(b\alpha^{(p^k+1)t})\}_{0\leq t\leq p^n-2} \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m},\, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\Big\},$$

the period of each sequence in that set is not larger than $(p^n-1)/2$. The sequence families proposed in the present paper do not contain any sequences in that set.

6. Concluding Remarks

For an even integer $n = 2m \geq 4$ and any positive integer k satisfying Equality (1.2), a class of binary Kasami codes has been extended to odd p-ary case. These p-ary $[p^n-1, \frac{5n}{2}]$ codes $\mathcal{C}^k$ can achieve the maximal value $(p-1)p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n}{2}}$ for their minimum distances by taking $d = 1$, and in this case, a family $\mathcal{F}^k$ of $p^{\frac{3n}{2}}$ p-ary sequences with period $p^n - 1$ can be defined and have the maximum correlation magnitude $p^{\frac{n}{2}+1} + 1$.

We had tried to remove the restriction in Equality (1.2) on the parameters m and k. Clearly, the assumption of Equality (1.2) is equivalent to say that $d = \gcd(m,k)$ is odd and m/d and k/d have different parity. If d is even, Proposition 2 still holds and hence the rank of $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}$ is still n, $n-d$, or $n-2d$. If both m/d and k/d are odd, then the rank of $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}$ can be shown to be n, $n-2d$, or $n-4d$. In each of these cases, Lemma 4 can not be proven by the method in this paper since the ranks of the $\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}$ are all even, and new equalities on the $|R_{n-\mathrm{rank}(\Pi_{\gamma,\delta}),\pm1}|$ need to be established for determining the weight distribution.

With the help of a computer, for $p = 3$ and $(m,k) = (3,1)$, $(4,2)$, the minimum distance of the $[p^n-1, \frac{5n}{2}]$ code $\mathcal{C}^k$ is verified to be $(p-1)p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n}{2}+1}$, which is strictly less than $(p-1)p^{n-1} - p^{\frac{n}{2}}$.

It seems that new methods should be developed to deal with the remaining case of m and k.

References

[1] A. W. Bluher, “On $x^{q+1}+ax+b$,” *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 285-305, July 2004.
[2] C. Carlet and C. Ding, “Highly nonlinear functions,” *J. Complexity*, vol. 20, no. 2-3, pp. 205-244, April-June 2004.
[3] C. Carlet, C. Ding, and H. Niederreiter, “Authentication schemes from highly nonlinear functions,” *Des. Codes Cryptography,* vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 71-79, July 2006.
[4] C. Carlet, C. Ding, and J. Yuan, “Linear codes from perfect nonlinear mappings and their secret sharing schemes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 2089-2102, June 2005.
[5] C. Ding and H. Niederreiter, “Systematic authentication codes from highly nonlinear functions,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 50, no. 10, pp. 2421-2428, Oct. 2004.
[6] C. Ding and J. Yuan, “A family of skew Hadamard difference sets,” *J. Combin. Theory, series A*, vol. 113, no.7, pp. 1526-1535, Oct. 2006.
[7] S. W. Golomb and G. Gong, Signal Design for Good Correlation-For Wireless Communication, Cryptography and Radar. New York: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2005.
[8] L. Hu, X. Zeng, N. Li, and W. Jiang, “Period-different m-sequences with at most a four-valued cross correlation,” available online http://arxiv.org/abs/0801.0857.
[9] T. Kasami, “Weight distribution of Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem codes,” in *Combinatorial Mathematics and Its Applications.* R. C. Bose and T. A. Dowling, Eds. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press, 1969, pp. 335-357.
[10] P. V. Kumar and O. Moreno, “Prime-phase sequences with periodic correlation properties better than binary sequences,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 603-616, May 1991.
[11] P. V. Kumar, R. A. Scholtz, and L. R. Welch, “Generalized bent functions and their properties,” *J. Combin. Theory, series A*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 90-107, Sept. 1985.
[12] J. Lahtonen, “Two remarks on a paper by Moreno and Kumar,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 859-861, May 1995.
[13] S. C. Liu and J. J. Komo, “Nonbinary Kasami sequences over $GF(p)$,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 1409-1412, July 1992.

[14] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, “Finite Fields,” in *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications.* Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1983, vol. 20.

[15] O. Moreno and P. V. Kumar, “Minimum distance bounds for cyclic codes and Deligne’s Theorem,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1524-1534, Sept. 1993.

[16] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. Sloane, The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North-Holland, 1977.

[17] O. Rothaus, “On bent functions,” *J. Combin. Theory, series A*, vol. 20, no.3, pp. 300-305, May 1976.

[18] M. K. Simon, J. K. Omura, R. A. Scholtz, and B. K. Levitt, Spread Spectrum Communications Handbook. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2001.

[19] D. V. Sarwate and M. B. Pursley, “Crosscorrelation properties of pseudorandom and related sequences,” *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 68, pp. 593-619, May 1980.

[20] M. van der Vlugt, “Surfaces and the weight distribution of a family of codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 1354-1360, July 1997.

[21] J. Yuan, C. Carlet, and C. Ding, “The weight distribution of a class of linear codes from perfect nonlinear functions,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 712-717, Feb. 2006.

[22] Y. Xia, X. Zeng, and L. Hu, “The large set of p-ary Kasami sequences,” preprint.

[23] X. Zeng, J. Q. Liu, and L. Hu, “Generalized Kasami sequences: the large set,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 2587-2598, July 2007.

Xiangyong Zeng and Nian Li are with the Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hubei University, Wuhan, China. Email: xzeng@hubu.edu.cn

Lei Hu is with the State Key Laboratory of Information Security, Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. Email: hu@is.ac.cn